	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/626,537	FREDENBURGH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah K. Ware	1651
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3) <u>Jason Okun</u> .	
(2) <u>David Naff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 May 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: those of record and proposed claimsand language		
Claims discussed: all of record and proposed claims		
Prior art documents discussed: art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants discussed newly proposed claims with the examiners and with additional changes the claims as proposed during the interview were deemed to be allowable subject matter. Hence, Applicants agreed to the changes by examiner's amendment to put case into condition for allowance and this interview of 5/5/05 is Applicants' response to the last office action.